Contact: support@cbrrigham.com

cbrigham.com

amaguides.com

impairment.com

<u>emedicolegal.com</u>

2025-06-25



Independent Medical Evaluation and Impairment Rating **Report Checklist**

Secti	on 1: Case Specifics							
	Case/File Number listed							
	Evaluator identified							
	Specialty of the evaluator included							
	Qualifications of evaluator to perform evaluation (e.g., Certified Impairment Rater)							
	Type of report (e.g., IME, AME, QME, PTP) clearly indicated							
	□ Requesting party identified (defense, plaintiff, employer, insurer)							
	□ Date of injury specified							
	□ Date of report stated							
	□ Interval from injury to report calculated (in months)							
	□ Report length noted (pages or word count)							
Secti	on 2: Narrative Critique							
1. Str	ructure and Presentation							
	Logical organization and clear headings/subheadings							
	Professional formatting, spelling, and grammar							
	Tone is objective and professional							
	Language is readable for non-medical stakeholders							
2. Co	mprehensiveness							
	All key report components included (history, medical documents, exam, analysis references)							
	Level of detail appropriate to case complexity							
3. Int	roduction							
	Purpose of the report clearly stated							
	Referring the source and scope of evaluation defined							
	Historian identified and corroborated with medical records							

Contact: support@cbrrigham.com cbrigham.com amaguides.com impairment.com emedicolegal.com

2025-06-25

		2025-06-					
4. Me	edical History						
	Full injury history, including onset, symptoms, mechanism, and context						
	Chronological clinical timeline provided						
	Pre-existing and comorbid conditions noted						
	Complete medical background:						
	o Past Medical History						
	o Review of Systems						
	o Family History						
	 Personal, Social and Occupational History 						
5. Me	edical Record Review						
	Process: Human, Artificial Intelligence, or both						
	Adequate volume and relevancy of reviewed records						
	Key findings integrated into the narrative						
	Inconsistencies, gaps, or missing records identified						
6. Ph	ysical Examination						
	Exam appropriate to body part and diagnosis						
	Objective measurements provided						
	Distinction made between subjective and objective findings						
	Assessment of non-physiologic findings (e.g., Waddell signs) included						
7. Co	onclusions and Medical Opinions						
	Diagnoses:						
	o ICD-10 codes provided						
	 Justified and consistent with facts and evidence-based medicine 						
	Clinical/Case Analysis:						
	 Logical reasoning supported by facts and evidence-based medicine 	;					
	Causation:						

- Consistent with AMA Guides to Evaluation of Disease and Injury Causation (www.causation.com) and other evidence-based medical resources.
- o Transparent, reasoned opinion

Independent Medical Evaluation and Impairment Rating Report Checklist

Contact	: support@	cbrrigham.com	<u>cbrigham.com</u>	<u>amaguides.com</u>	<u>impairment.com</u>	emedicolegal.cor	<u>n</u>			
							2025-06-25			
	Maxin	Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI):								
	 Clearly defined and justified 									
	□ Impairment Rating:									
	o Correct AMA Guides edition used									
	0	 Accurate methodology with citations to tables/figures 								
	 All steps documented 									
	□ Work Ability/Restrictions:									
	0	o Based on AMA Guides to Work Ability								
8. Dis	sclosur	es and Attest	tations							
	Disclo	sure of profe	ssional relatio	nships or confl	icts of interest					
	Attestation of objectivity and adherence to evaluation standards									
C+:	4. Di	T	A							
Secti			Assessment							
	Langu	iage is neutra	l and professio	onal						
	No signs of implicit or explicit bias									
	No disrespectful tone toward the claimant or legal parties									
Secti	on 5: R	ecommenda	tions for Furth	ner Action						
	□ Al Report Critique (Cbrigham.com/report-screening)									
	Analysis by a board-certified impairment expert (Cbrigham.com/referral)									
	Suggested training or re-education noted (e.g., <u>amaguides.com</u>)									

References

¹ Brigham CR, Direnfeld LK, Feinberg S, Kertay L, Talmage JB. Independent Medical Evaluation Best Practices. Guides Newsletter, 22(5):3-18, September – October 2017. https://doi.org/10.1001/amaguidesnewsletters.2017.SepOct01

¹ Brigham CR, Feinberg S, Buttar WA. Comprehensive Medical History Interview: Practical Guidance. Guides Newsletter, 28(6):20-45, November-December 2023. https://doi.org/10.1001/amaguidesnewsletters.2023.NovDec04